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A well-know stochastic volatility model

The Heston model

A very popular stochastic volatility model for a stock price is the Heston model:

\[ dS_t = S_t \sqrt{V_t} dW_t \]
\[ dV_t = \lambda (\theta - V_t) dt + \lambda \nu \sqrt{V_t} dB_t, \quad \langle dW_t, dB_t \rangle = \rho dt. \]

Popularity of the Heston model

- Reproduces several important features of low frequency price data: leverage effect, time-varying volatility, fat tails, . . .
- Provides quite reasonable dynamics for the volatility surface.
- Explicit formula for the characteristic function of the asset log-price \( \rightarrow \) very efficient model calibration procedures.
Volatility is rough!

- In Heston model, volatility follows a Brownian diffusion.
- It is shown in Gatheral et al. that log-volatility time series behave in fact like a fractional Brownian motion, with Hurst parameter of order 0.1.
- More precisely, basically all the statistical stylized facts of volatility are retrieved when modeling it by a rough fractional Brownian motion.
- From Alos, Fukasawa and Bayer et al., we know that such model also enables us to reproduce very well the behavior of the implied volatility surface, in particular the at-the-money skew (without jumps).
Definition

The fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H$ is the only process $W^H$ to satisfy:

- Self-similarity: $(W^H_{at}) \overset{L}{=} a^H(W^H_t)$.
- Stationary increments: $(W^H_{t+h} - W^H_t) \overset{L}{=} (W^H_h)$.
- Gaussian process with $\mathbb{E}[W^H_1] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[(W^H_1)^2] = 1$. 
Proposition

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, $W^H$ is $(H - \varepsilon)$-Hölder a.s.

Proposition

The absolute moments satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}[|W^H_{t+h} - W^H_t|^q] = K_q h^{Hq}.$$ 

Mandelbrot-van Ness representation

$$W^H_t = \int_0^t \frac{dW_s}{(t-s)^{1-H}} + \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\frac{1}{(t-s)^{1-H}} - \frac{1}{(-s)^{1-H}}\right) dW_s.$$
Combining Heston with roughness

Rough version of Heston model

- Rough Heston model = best of both worlds:
- Nice features of rough volatility models.
- Computational simplicity of the classical Heston model.
Rough Heston models

Generalized rough Heston model

We consider a general definition of the rough Heston model:

\[ dS_t = S_t \sqrt{V_t} dW_t \]

\[ V_t = V_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \lambda (\theta^0(s) - V_s) ds + \frac{\lambda \nu}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \sqrt{V_s} dB_s, \]

with \( \langle dW_t, dB_t \rangle = \rho dt \), \( \alpha \in (1/2, 1) \).
Classical Heston model

From simple arguments based on the Markovian structure of the model and Ito’s formula, we get that in the classical Heston model, the characteristic function of the log-price $X_t = \log(S_t/S_0)$ satisfies

$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{iaX_t}] = \exp \left( g(a, t) + V_0 h(a, t) \right),
$$

where $h$ is solution of the following Riccati equation:

$$
\partial_t h = \frac{1}{2} (-a^2 - ia) + \lambda (ia \rho \nu - 1) h(a, s) + \frac{(\lambda \nu)^2}{2} h^2(a, s), \quad h(a, 0) = 0,
$$

and

$$
g(a, t) = \theta \lambda \int_0^t h(a, s) \, ds.
$$
Pricing in Heston models (2)

Rough Heston models

Pricing in rough Heston models is much more intricate:
- Monte-Carlo: Bayer et al., Bennedsen et al.
- Asymptotic formulas: Bayer et al., Forde et al., Jacquier et al.

This work

- Goal: Deriving a Heston like formula in the rough case, together with hedging strategies.
- Tool: The microstructural foundations of rough volatility models based on Hawkes processes.
- We build a sequence of relevant high frequency models converging to our rough Heston process.
- We compute their characteristic function and pass to the limit.
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Building the model

Necessary conditions for a good microscopic price model

We want:

- A tick-by-tick model.
- A model reproducing the stylized facts of modern electronic markets in the context of high frequency trading.
- A model helping us to understand the rough dynamics of the volatility from the high frequency behavior of market participants.
- A model helping us to derive a Heston like formula and hedging strategies.
Introduction
Microstructural foundations for rough Heston model
Pricing and hedging in the rough Heston model

Building the model

Stylized facts 1-2

- Markets are highly endogenous, meaning that most of the orders have no real economic motivations but are rather sent by algorithms in reaction to other orders, see Bouchaud et al., Filimonov and Sornette.

- Mechanisms preventing statistical arbitrages take place on high frequency markets, meaning that at the high frequency scale, building strategies that are on average profitable is hardly possible.
Building the model

Stylized facts 3-4

- There is some asymmetry in the liquidity on the bid and ask sides of the order book. In particular, a market maker is likely to raise the price by less following a buy order than to lower the price following the same size sell order, see Brennan et al., Brunnermeier and Pedersen, Hendershott and Seasholes.

- A large proportion of transactions is due to large orders, called metaorders, which are not executed at once but split in time.
Building the model

Hawkes processes

- Our tick-by-tick price model is based on Hawkes processes in dimension two, very much inspired by the approaches in Bacry et al. and Jaisson and R.

- A two-dimensional Hawkes process is a bivariate point process \((N^+_t, N^-_t)_{t \geq 0}\) taking values in \((\mathbb{R}^+)^2\) and with intensity \((\lambda_t^+, \lambda_t^-)\) of the form:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\lambda_t^+ \\
\lambda_t^-
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mu^+ \\
\mu^-
\end{bmatrix} + \int_0^t \begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_1(t-s) \\
\varphi_2(t-s)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_3(t-s) \\
\varphi_4(t-s)
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
\mathrm{d}N_s^+ \\
\mathrm{d}N_s^-
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Building the model

The microscopic price model

- Our model is simply given by
  \[ P_t = N_t^+ - N_t^- . \]
  
- \( N_t^+ \) corresponds to the number of upward jumps of the asset in the time interval \([0, t]\) and \( N_t^- \) to the number of downward jumps. Hence, the instantaneous probability to get an upward (downward) jump depends on the location in time of the past upward and downward jumps.

- By construction, the price process lives on a discrete grid.

- Statistical properties of this model have been studied in details.
Encoding the stylized facts

The right parametrization of the model

- Recall that

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_t^+ \\
\lambda_t^-
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\mu^+ \\
\mu^-
\end{pmatrix} + \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_1(t-s) & \varphi_3(t-s) \\
\varphi_2(t-s) & \varphi_4(t-s)
\end{pmatrix} dt \begin{pmatrix}
dN_s^+ \\
dN_s^-
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

- High degree of endogeneity of the market → $L^1$ norm of the largest eigenvalue of the kernel matrix close to one.
- No arbitrage → $\varphi_1 + \varphi_3 = \varphi_2 + \varphi_4$.
- Liquidity asymmetry → $\varphi_3 = \beta \varphi_2$, with $\beta > 1$.
- Metaorders splitting → $\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x) \sim K/x^{1+\alpha}$, $\alpha \approx 0.6$. 
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Rough Heston models
About the degree of endogeneity of the market

$L^1$ norm close to unity

- For simplicity, let us consider the case of a Hawkes process in dimension 1 with Poisson rate $\mu$ and kernel $\phi$:

$$\lambda_t = \mu + \int_{(0,t)} \phi(t-s)dN_s.$$ 

- $N_t$ then represents the number of transactions between time 0 and time $t$.

- $L^1$ norm of the largest eigenvalue close to unity $\rightarrow L^1$ norm of $\phi$ close to unity. This is systematically observed in practice, see Hardiman, Bercot and Bouchaud; Filimonov and Sornette.

- The parameter $\|\phi\|_1$ corresponds to the so-called degree of endogeneity of the market.
Under the assumption $\|\phi\|_1 < 1$, Hawkes processes can be represented as a population process where migrants arrive according to a Poisson process with parameter $\mu$.

Then each migrant gives birth to children according to a non homogeneous Poisson process with intensity function $\phi$, these children also giving birth to children according to the same non homogeneous Poisson process, see Hawkes (74).

Now consider for example the classical case of buy (or sell) market orders. Then migrants can be seen as exogenous orders whereas children are viewed as orders triggered by other orders.
Degree of endogeneity of the market

- The parameter \( \| \phi \|_1 \) corresponds to the average number of children of an individual, \( \| \phi \|_2 \) to the average number of grandchildren of an individual, \ldots Therefore, if we call cluster the descendants of a migrant, then the average size of a cluster is given by \( \sum_{k \geq 1} \| \phi \|_1^k = \| \phi \|_1 / (1 - \| \phi \|_1) \).

- Thus, the average proportion of endogenously triggered events is \( \| \phi \|_1 / (1 - \| \phi \|_1) \) divided by \( 1 + \| \phi \|_1 / (1 - \| \phi \|_1) \), which is equal to \( \| \phi \|_1 \).
Limit theorem

After suitable scaling in time and space, the long term limit of our price model satisfies the following rough Heston dynamic:

\[ P_t = \int_0^t \sqrt{V_s} \, dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t V_s \, ds, \]

\[ V_t = V_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \lambda(\theta - V_s) \, ds + \frac{\lambda \nu}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \sqrt{V_s} \, dB_s, \]

with

\[ d\langle W, B \rangle_t = \frac{1 - \beta}{\sqrt{2(1 + \beta^2)}} \, dt. \]

The Hurst parameter \( H \) satisfies \( H = \alpha - 1/2 \).
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Rough Heston models

Generalized rough Heston model

Recall that we consider a general definition of the rough Heston model:

\[ dS_t = S_t \sqrt{V_t} dW_t \]

\[ V_t = V_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \lambda (\theta^0(s) - V_s) ds + \frac{\lambda \nu}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \sqrt{V_s} dB_s, \]

with \( \langle dW_t, dB_t \rangle = \rho dt \), \( \alpha \in (1/2, 1) \).
Consider a European option with payoff $f(\log(S_T))$. We study the dynamics of

$$C_t^T = \mathbb{E}[f(\log(S_T))|\mathcal{F}_t]; \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$ 

Define

$$P_t^T(a) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(ia \log(S_T))|\mathcal{F}_t]; \quad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$ 

**Fourier based hedging**

Writing $\hat{f}$ for the Fourier transform of $f$, we have

$$C_t^T = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(a)P_t^T(a)da; \quad dC_t^T = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(a)dP_t^T(a)da.$$
Characteristic function of the generalized rough Heston model

We write:

\[ I^{1-\alpha} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{f(t)}{(x - t)^\alpha} dt, \quad D^\alpha f(x) = \frac{d}{dx} I^{1-\alpha} f(x). \]

Using the Hawkes framework, we get the following result about the characteristic function of the generalized rough Heston model.
The characteristic function of log\((S_t/S_0)\) in the generalized rough Heston model is given by

\[
\exp \left( \int_0^t h(a, t - s) (\lambda \theta^0(s) + V_0 \frac{s^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} ds) \right),
\]

where \(h\) is the unique solution of the fractional Riccati equation

\[
D^\alpha h(a, t) = \frac{1}{2} (-a^2 - ia) + \lambda (i a \rho \nu - 1) h(a, s) + \frac{(\lambda \nu)^2}{2} h^2(a, s).
\]
Link between the characteristic function and the forward variance curve

\[ \theta^0 = D^{\alpha} (\mathbb{E}[V.] - V_0) + \mathbb{E}[V.]. \]

Suitable expression for the characteristic function

The characteristic function can be written as follows:

\[ \exp \left( \int_0^t g(a, t - s) \mathbb{E}[V_s] ds \right), \]

where the function \( g \) is defined by

\[ g(a, t) = \frac{1}{2} (-a^2 - ia) + \lambda i a \rho \nu h(a, s) + \frac{(\lambda \nu)^2}{2} h^2(a, s). \]
Recall that

\[ P_T^T(a) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(ia \log(S_T))|\mathcal{F}_t]. \]

Using that the conditional law of a generalized rough Heston model is that of a generalized rough Heston model, we deduce the following theorem:

**Theorem**

\[ P_T^T(a) = \exp(ia \log(S_t) + \int_0^{T-t} g(a, s) \mathbb{E}[V_{T-s}|\mathcal{F}_t]ds) \]

and

\[ dP_T^T(a) = iaP_T^T(a) \frac{dS_t}{S_t} + P_T^T(a) \int_0^{T-t} g(a, s) d\mathbb{E}[V_{T-s}|\mathcal{F}_t]ds. \]

We can perfectly hedge the option with the underlying stock and the forward variance curve!
We collect S&P implied volatility surface, from Bloomberg, for different maturities

\[ T_j = 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2 \text{ years,} \]

and different moneyness

\[ K/S_0 = 0.80, 0.90, 0.95, 0.975, 1.00, 1.025, 1.05, 1.10, 1.20. \]

Calibration results on data of 7 January 2010 (more recent data currently investigated):

\[ \rho = -0.68; \quad \nu = 0.305; \quad H = 0.09. \]
Calibration results: Market vs model implied volatilities, 7 January 2010
Calibration results: Market vs model implied volatilities, 7 January 2010
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Stability: Results on 8 February 2010 (one month after calibration)
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Stability: Results on 8 February 2010 (one month after calibration)
Stability: Results on 7 April 2010 (three months after calibration)
Stability: Results on 7 April 2010 (three months after calibration)